

Draft Versatile Test Reactor Environmental Impact Statement

Volume 2

Appendices A-H

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Nuclear Energy



COVER SHEET

Lead Agency: U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)

Cooperating Agencies: None

Title: *Draft Versatile Test Reactor Environmental Impact Statement (VTR EIS) (DOE/EIS-0542)*

Location: Idaho, South Carolina, Tennessee

For further information or for copies of this Draft VTR EIS, contact:

Mr. James Lovejoy
VTR EIS Document Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Idaho Operations Office
1955 Fremont Avenue, MS 1235
Idaho Falls, ID 83415
Telephone: 208-526-6805
Email: VTR.EIS@nuclear.energy.gov

For general information on the DOE National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, contact:

Mr. Jason Sturm
NEPA Compliance Officer
U.S. Department of Energy
Idaho Operations Office
1955 Fremont Avenue, MS 1235
Idaho Falls, ID 83415
Telephone: 208-526-6805
Email: VTR.EIS@nuclear.energy.gov

This document is available on the DOE NEPA website (<http://energy.gov/nepa/nepa-documents>) for viewing and downloading.

Abstract: This *Versatile Test Reactor Environmental Impact Statement* (VTR EIS) evaluates the potential environmental impacts of proposed alternatives for the construction and operation of a new test reactor, as well as associated facilities that are needed for performing post-irradiation evaluation of test articles and managing spent nuclear fuel (SNF). In accordance with the Nuclear Energy Innovation Capabilities Act of 2017 (NEICA) (Pub. L. 115–248), DOE assessed the mission need for a versatile reactor-based fast-neutron source (or Versatile Test Reactor) to serve as a national user facility. DOE determined that there is a need for a fast-neutron spectrum VTR to enable testing and evaluating nuclear fuels, materials, sensors, and instrumentation for use in advanced reactors and other purposes. In accordance with NEICA, DOE is pursuing construction and operation of the 300 megawatt (thermal) VTR. The reactor would be a pool-type, sodium-cooled reactor that uses a uranium-plutonium-zirconium metal fuel. The analysis also includes the potential impacts from post-irradiation examination of test articles, management of spent fuel, and activities necessary for VTR driver fuel production.

The Idaho National Laboratory (INL) VTR Alternative would include the construction of the VTR adjacent to the Materials and Fuels Complex (MFC) at the INL Site. Existing MFC facilities, some requiring new equipment, would be used for post-irradiation examination and conditioning SNF. The Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) VTR Alternative would include the construction of a VTR and a hot cell building at ORNL. The hot cell building would provide post-irradiation examination and SNF conditioning capabilities. Both alternatives would require construction of a concrete pad for dry storage of SNF pending shipment

to an offsite storage or disposal facility. DOE does not intend to separate, purify, or recover fissile material from VTR driver fuel.

DOE also evaluates options for preparing the uranium/plutonium/zirconium feedstock for use in the reactor driver fuel (fuel needed to run the reactor) and for fabricating the driver fuel. Feedstock preparation would be performed using new capabilities installed in an existing building at the INL Site or the Savannah River Site (SRS). Fuel fabrication would be performed using existing or newly installed equipment in existing buildings at the INL Site or SRS.

Preferred Alternative: DOE's Preferred Alternative is the INL VTR Alternative. DOE would construct and operate the VTR at the INL Site adjacent to the MFC. Existing facilities within the MFC would be modified and used for post-irradiation examination of test assemblies. SNF would be treated to remove the sodium and converted into a form that would meet the acceptance criteria for a future permanent repository. The treated SNF would be temporarily stored at a new storage pad near the VTR.

DOE has no preferred option at this time for where it would perform reactor fuel production (feedstock preparation or driver fuel fabrication) for the VTR. This EIS evaluates options for both processes at the INL Site and at SRS. DOE will state its preferred options for feedstock preparation and driver fuel fabrication in the Final VTR EIS, if preferred options are identified before issuance.

Public Involvement: DOE issued a Notice of Intent to Prepare an environmental impact statement for a Versatile Test Reactor in the *Federal Register* (84 FR 38021) on August 5, 2019, to solicit public input on the scope and environmental issues to be addressed in this VTR EIS. Comments received during the August 5 through September 4, 2019, scoping period were considered in the preparation of this Draft EIS. Comments on this Draft EIS will be accepted following publication of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Notice of Availability. Comments can be submitted to the address provided above or emailed to VTR.EIS@nuclear.energy.gov. Opportunities to provide oral comments will be announced in news media near the DOE sites at a later date. Comments received during the comment period will be considered during the preparation of the Final EIS. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be considered to the extent practicable.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 2

Appendices A through H

List of Figures	xiv
List of Tables	xv
Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Conversion Charts	xxiii

Appendix A *Federal Register Notices* A-1

A.1 Notice of Intent – August 5, 2019.....	A-1
--	-----

Appendix B *Detailed Project Information* B-1

B.1 Introduction.....	B-1
B.2 Versatile Test Reactor	B-1
B.2.1 Introduction	B-1
B.2.2 Versatile Test Reactor General Arrangement	B-3
B.2.3 Versatile Test Reactor Core and Fuel Design	B-6
B.2.4 Test Assemblies.....	B-11
B.2.5 Reactor Vessel and Primary Heat Transport System.....	B-14
B.2.6 Heat Removal System (Secondary)	B-16
B.2.7 Reactor Vessel Auxiliary Cooling System	B-17
B.2.8 Additional Systems.....	B-18
B.2.9 Operations	B-25
B.2.10 Versatile Test Reactor at the Idaho National Laboratory Site.....	B-27
B.2.10.1 Environmental Resources – Construction.....	B-28
B.2.10.2 Environmental Resources – Operations.....	B-30
B.2.11 Versatile Test Reactor at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	B-33
B.2.11.1 Environmental Resources – Construction.....	B-34
B.2.11.2 Environmental Resources – Operations.....	B-36
B.3 Test Assembly Examination	B-37
B.3.1 Introduction	B-37
B.3.2 Post-Irradiation Examination of Test Assemblies	B-37
B.3.3 Test Assembly Examination at the Idaho National Laboratory Site	B-38
B.3.3.1 Facilities	B-38
B.3.3.2 Environmental Resources – Construction.....	B-40
B.3.3.3 Environmental Resources – Operations.....	B-40
B.3.4 Test Assembly Examination at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	B-42
B.3.4.1 Facilities	B-42
B.3.4.2 Environmental Resources – Construction.....	B-45
B.3.4.3 Environmental Resources – Operations.....	B-46
B.4 Spent Fuel Treatment and Storage.....	B-49
B.4.1 Introduction	B-49
B.4.2 Spent Fuel Treatment	B-49
B.4.3 Spent Fuel Treatment and Storage at the Idaho National Laboratory Site.....	B-51
B.4.3.1 Idaho National Laboratory Facilities	B-51

B.4.3.2	Environmental Resources – Construction.....	B-53
B.4.3.3	Environmental Resources – Operations.....	B-53
B.4.4	Spent Fuel Treatment and Storage at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	B-55
B.4.4.1	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Facilities	B-55
B.4.4.2	Environmental Resources – Construction.....	B-56
B.4.4.3	Environmental Resources – Operations.....	B-57
B.5	Reactor Fuel Production	B-58
B.5.1	Introduction	B-58
B.5.2	Versatile Test Reactor Fuel Production	B-59
B.5.3	Idaho National Laboratory Site Reactor Fuel Production Options.....	B-67
B.5.3.1	Idaho National Laboratory Site Feedstock Preparation	B-68
B.5.3.2	Idaho National Laboratory Site Fuel Fabrication	B-72
B.5.4	Savannah River Site Reactor Fuel Production Options	B-77
B.5.4.1	Feedstock Preparation	B-78
B.5.4.2	Savannah River Site Fuel Fabrication	B-82
B.6	References	B-89

Appendix C
Evaluation of Human Health Effects from Normal Operations **C-1**

C.1	Introduction.....	C-1
C.1.1	Radiation Protection Guides	C-1
C.1.2	Radiation Exposure Limits.....	C-3
C.1.3	Human Health Effects Due to Exposure to Radiation	C-4
C.2	Assessment Approach.....	C-5
C.2.1	Meteorological Data	C-5
C.2.2	Population Data	C-8
C.2.3	Agricultural Data	C-11
C.2.4	Source Term Data.....	C-11
C.2.5	Other Calculation Assumptions.....	C-14
C.3	Results for Idaho National Laboratory	C-14
C.3.1	Construction.....	C-15
C.3.2	Operations	C-15
C.4	Results for Oak Ridge National Laboratory	C-18
C.4.1	Construction.....	C-18
C.4.2	Operations	C-18
C.5	Results for Savannah River Site.....	C-19
C.5.1	Construction.....	C-19
C.5.2	Operations	C-20
C.6	Environmental Justice Results.....	C-21
C.7	References	C-24
Attachment C1: Environmental Justice Population Distributions		C-26

Appendix D

Human Health Impacts from Facility Accidents

D-1

D.1	Impact Assessment Methods for Facility Accidents.....	D-1
D.1.1	Introduction	D-1
D.1.1.1	Consequences and Risks	D-1
D.1.1.2	Uncertainties and Conservatism	D-2
D.1.2	Safety Strategy	D-2
D.1.3	Selection of Accidents and Determination of Radiological Source Terms	D-3
D.1.3.1	Accident Analysis Background	D-4
D.1.3.2	Considerations for Accident Scenarios and Frequencies	D-4
D.1.3.3	Identification of Material at Risk.....	D-5
D.1.3.4	Identification of Material Potentially Released to the Environment	D-6
D.1.4	Evaluation of Accident Consequences	D-8
D.1.4.1	Potential Receptors.....	D-8
D.1.4.2	Modeling of Dispersion of Releases to the Environment.....	D-9
D.1.4.3	Long-Term Consequences of Releases.....	D-11
D.2	Considerations for Accident Selection.....	D-11
D.2.1	Background	D-11
D.2.2	Accident Scenario Consistency.....	D-12
D.2.3	Accident Scenario Differences among Alternatives and Options	D-14
D.2.3.1	INL VTR Alternative	D-15
D.2.3.2	ORNL VTR Alternative	D-15
D.2.3.3	VTR Fuel Production Option at Savannah River Site	D-15
D.2.3.4	VTR Fuel Production Option at Idaho National Laboratory	D-15
D.2.3.5	No Action Alternative	D-15
D.3	Facility Accident Scenarios.....	D-15
D.3.1	Reactor Fuel Production Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Savannah River Site	D-15
D.3.1.1	Criticality while Melting Plutonium Metal and Adding Uranium and Zirconium (Fuel Received as Plutonium Metal)	D-18
D.3.1.2	Fire Impingement on Fuel Material (Intact Confinement).....	D-18
D.3.1.3	Fire impingement on Fuel Material with Seismically-Induced Confinement Failure	D-19
D.3.1.4	Spill and Oxidation of Molten Plutonium-Uranium Mixture while Heating or Casting with Seismically-Induced Confinement Failure	D-19
D.3.1.5	Plutonium Oxide-to-Metal Conversion – Explosion of 3013 Container of Plutonium Oxide	D-19
D.3.1.6	Beyond-Design-Basis Fire Involving a Transuranic Waste Drum Fire	D-20
D.3.1.7	Aqueous/Electrorefining Fuel Preparation	D-20
D.3.1.8	Aircraft Crash into VTR Fuel Production Facility	D-21
D.3.1.9	Beyond-Design-Basis Earthquake and Fire Involving All of Versatile Test Reactor Fuel Production Material at Risk	D-24
D.3.2	Transuranic Waste Handling Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and Savannah River Site	D-24
D.3.2.1	Fire Outside Confinement (Waste from Fuel Production or Spent Fuel Treatment)	D-24
D.3.2.2	Fire Outside Involving a Waste Drum with 23 Grams of Americium-241	D-26
D.3.3	Versatile Test Reactor Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-26
D.3.3.1	Review of Sodium-Cooled Reactor Accidents and Operations	D-26
D.3.3.2	Current Versatile Test Reactor Safety Basis	D-27

D.3.3.3	Key Conclusions from Versatile Test Reactor Safety Analyses.....	D-29
D.3.3.4	Versatile Test Reactor Defense-in-Depth.....	D-31
D.3.3.5	Analysis of Versatile Test Reactor Reactor-Building Accidents	D-33
D.3.4	Spent Fuel Handling and Treatment Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-38
D.3.4.1	Criticality Involving Melted Spent Fuel (Failed Confinement)	D-41
D.3.4.2	Spill of Melted Spent Fuel with Seismically-Induced Confinement Failure.....	D-41
D.3.4.3	Sodium Fire Involving Spent Fuel with Cladding and Confinement Failure	D-42
D.3.5	Post-Irradiation Examination Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-42
D.3.5.1	Fire Involving Test Assembly (Seismically-Induced Confinement Failure)	D-42
D.3.6	Spent Fuel Storage Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-43
D.3.6.1	Seismic Event Causes Failure of Spent Fuel Storage Cask	D-43
D.3.6.2	Seismic Event Causes Criticality in Fuel from Spent Fuel Storage Cask	D-44
D.3.6.3	Drop of Fuel-Loaded Cask	D-44
D.4	Radiological Impacts of Facility Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and Savannah River Site	D-44
D.4.1	Radiological Impacts from Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory Versatile Test Reactor-Related Facilities	D-45
D.4.1.1	Accident Impacts from VTR Fuel Production Capability at Idaho National Laboratory	D-45
D.4.1.2	Accident Impacts from VTR Transuranic Waste Activities at Idaho National Laboratory	D-46
D.4.1.3	Accident Impacts from Reactor Operations at Idaho National Laboratory	D-46
D.4.1.4	Accident Impacts from Treatment at Idaho National Laboratory.....	D-47
D.4.1.5	Accident Impacts from Post-Irradiation Examination at Idaho National Laboratory	D-47
D.4.1.6	Accident Impacts from Spent Fuel Storage at Idaho National Laboratory.....	D-47
D.4.2	Radiological Impacts from Accidents at Versatile Test Reactor-Related Facilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-47
D.4.2.1	Accident Impacts from VTR Transuranic Waste Activities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-48
D.4.2.2	Accident Impacts from Reactor Operations at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-48
D.4.2.3	Accident Impacts from VTR Support Activities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-48
D.4.3	Radiological Impacts from Accidents at Versatile Test Reactor-Related Fuel Production Activities at K Reactor Complex at Savannah River Site.....	D-48
D.4.4	Comparison of the Radiological Impacts from Accidents at Versatile Test Reactor-Related Facilities at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-66
D.4.5	Comparison of Radiological Impacts from Accidents at Versatile Test Reactor-Related Fuel Production Activities at Idaho National Laboratory and K Reactor Complex at Savannah River Site	D-66
D.4.6	Comparison of the Annual Radiological Risks from Accidents at Versatile Test Reactor-Related Facilities at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-69
D.4.7	Comparison of the Annual Radiological Risks from Accidents at Versatile Test Reactor-Related Fuel Production Activities at Idaho National Laboratory and K Reactor Complex at Savannah River Site.....	D-71
D.4.8	Comparison of the Annual Radiological Risks from Accidents at Versatile Test Reactor-Related Activities at Idaho National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and Savannah River Site to Other Radiological Risks	D-73

D.4.9	Versatile Test Reactor Beyond-Design-Basis Reactor Accidents	D-74
D.4.9.1	Potential Hypothetical Beyond-Design-Basis Accident Scenarios.....	D-74
D.4.9.2	Material at Risk for Severe Accidents in the Versatile Test Reactor	D-75
D.4.9.3	Release Fractions for Severe Accidents in the Versatile Test Reactor	D-75
D.4.9.4	Potential Releases from a Hypothetical, Beyond-Design-Basis Reactor Accident with Loss of Cooling	D-78
D.4.9.5	Potential Impacts and Risks from a Hypothetical Beyond-Design-Basis Reactor Accident at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-78
D.4.9.6	Comparison to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission-Licensed Power Reactor Risks	D-80
D.4.9.7	Comparison of Versatile Test Reactor Hypothetical Beyond-Design-Basis Accident Risk to DOE Nuclear Safety Policy	D-81
D.4.9.8	Economic Costs of Severe Accidents.....	D-83
D.5	Hazardous Material Releases	D-83
D.5.1	Source Terms for Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and Savannah River Site.....	D-83
D.5.1.1	Fire Impingement on Fuel Material with Seismically-Induced Confinement Failure	D-83
D.5.1.2	Spill of Melted Spent Fuel with Seismically-Induced Confinement Failure.....	D-84
D.5.1.3	Sodium Fire Involving Spent Fuel with Cladding and Confinement Failure	D-84
D.5.1.4	Fire Involving Test Assembly during Seismically-Induced Confinement Failure	D-84
D.5.1.5	Drop of Fuel-Loaded Cask	D-84
D.5.1.6	Sodium Fire due to Failure of the Secondary Heat Removal System Main Branch Piping Outside of the Reactor Building	D-86
D.5.2	Hazardous Material Impacts of Facility Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and Savannah River Site.....	D-86
D.6	References	D-90
D.7	Attachment D1: Isotopic Composition in Reactor-VTR Fuel and 220-Day Cooled VTR Fuel.....	D-94
D.8	Attachment D2: Isotopic Composition of 4-Year Cooled VTR Fuel	D-97
D.9	Attachment D3: Nuclides Released from Inadvertent Nuclear Criticality	D-99

Appendix E

Evaluation of Human Health Effects from Transportation

E-1

E.1	Introduction.....	E-1
E.2	Scope of Assessment	E-1
E.2.1	Transportation-Related Activities	E-1
E.2.2	Radiological Impacts	E-1
E.2.3	Nonradiological Impacts	E-2
E.2.4	Transportation Modes	E-2
E.2.5	Receptors	E-3
E.3	Packaging and Transportation Regulations	E-3
E.3.1	Packaging Regulations.....	E-4
E.3.2	Transportation Regulations.....	E-5
E.4	Emergency Response	E-6
E.5	Methodology	E-7
E.5.1	Transportation Routes	E-9
E.5.2	Radioactive Material Shipments	E-13

E.6	Incident-free Transportation Risks	E-17
E.6.1	Radiological Risk.....	E-17
E.6.2	Nonradiological Risk.....	E-18
E.6.3	Maximally Exposed Individual Exposure Scenarios.....	E-18
E.7	Transportation Accident Risks.....	E-19
E.7.1	Methodology.....	E-19
E.7.2	Accident Rates.....	E-20
E.7.3	Accident Severity Categories and Conditional Probabilities	E-21
E.7.4	Atmospheric Conditions.....	E-22
E.7.5	Radioactive Release Characteristics.....	E-22
E.7.6	Acts of Sabotage or Terrorism	E-23
E.8	Risk Analysis Results	E-23
E.9	Impact of Hazardous Waste and Construction and Operational Material Transport	E-37
E.10	Onsite Transports	E-39
E.11	Conclusions.....	E-39
E.12	Long-term Impacts of Transportation	E-40
E.13	Uncertainty and Conservatism in Estimated Impacts	E-40
E.13.1	Uncertainties in Material Inventory and Characterization	E-42
E.13.2	Uncertainties in Containers, Shipment Capacities, and Number of Shipments.....	E-42
E.13.3	Uncertainties in Route Determination	E-43
E.13.4	Uncertainties in the Calculation of Radiation Doses.....	E-43
E.13.5	Uncertainties in Traffic Fatality Rates	E-43
E.14	References	E-44

Appendix F

Transport and Management of Plutonium from Foreign Countries

F-1

F.1	Introduction.....	F-1
F.2	Scope	F-1
F.3	Description of Activities	F-2
F.3.1	Shipments to the United States	F-3
F.3.2	Packaging and Shipments	F-3
F.3.3	Ship Transport.....	F-5
F.3.4	Ship to Truck Transfer at the Joint Base Charleston-Weapons Station	F-7
F.3.5	Overland Transport to Savannah River Site	F-7
F.3.6	Plutonium Receipt, Processing, Storage, and Disposition.....	F-8
F.4	Affected Environment.....	F-8
F.5	Analysis and Discussions	F-8
F.5.1	Impacts on the Global Commons.....	F-9
F.5.1.1	Human Health Impacts from Ship Transport under Normal Operations	F-9
F.5.1.2	Human Health Impacts from Potential Shipping Accidents	F-11
F.5.1.3	Other Impacts from Ship Transport	F-11
F.5.2	Impacts at the Seaport of Entry –Joint Base Charleston-Weapons Station	F-12
F.5.2.1	Human Health Impacts under Normal Port Operations	F-12
F.5.2.2	Human Health Impacts from Potential Accidents Involving Port Operations.....	F-13
F.5.2.3	Other Impacts from Port Operations	F-13

F.5.3	Impacts from Receipt of Plutonium Materials at the Savannah River Site	F-14
F.5.3.1	Impacts on Workers	F-14
F.5.3.2	Impacts on the Noninvolved Workers and the Public.....	F-14
F.6	Intentional Destructive Acts	F-14
F.6.1	Intentional Destructive Acts on the Global Commons	F-14
F.6.2	Intentional Destructive Acts in the United States.....	F-14
F.6.3	Mitigation of Intentional Destructive Acts.....	F-15
F.7	Cumulative Impacts	F-15
F.8	References	F-17
Appendix G		
	Scoping Comment Summary	G-1
G.1	References	G-15
Appendix H		
	Contractor Disclosure Statements	H-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Appendix B

Figure B–1.	Site Arrangement.....	B-4
Figure B–2.	Conceptual Design for the Versatile Test Reactor Facility	B-4
Figure B–3.	Versatile Test Reactor Core Configuration	B-7
Figure B–4.	Driver Fuel Assembly	B-8
Figure B–5.	Control or Safety Assembly.....	B-9
Figure B–6.	Fuel Pin	B-10
Figure B–7.	Representative Instrumented Test Assembly	B-12
Figure B–8.	Closed-Loop Cartridge Test Assembly	B-13
Figure B–9.	Versatile Test Reactor Vessel.....	B-14
Figure B–10.	Versatile Test Reactor Upper Head/Top Plate Assembly.....	B-15
Figure B–11.	Secondary Heat Removal System (One of Two Trains).....	B-17
Figure B–12.	Reactor Vessel Auxiliary Cooling System	B-18
Figure B–13.	View of the Versatile Test Reactor Operating Floor, Head Access Area, and Reactor	B-19
Figure B–14.	Gaseous Waste Management System	B-23
Figure B–15.	Proposed Versatile Test Reactor Location at Idaho National Laboratory	B-27
Figure B–16.	Proposed Versatile Test Reactor Location at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	B-34
Figure B–17.	Exterior and Interior Views of Hot Cell Facilities	B-38
Figure B–18.	Production Fuel Element Chopper in the Fuel Conditioning Facility	B-52
Figure B–19.	Hot Fuel Examination Facility Distillation System.....	B-52
Figure B–20.	Major Steps in Aqueous Processing.....	B-60
Figure B–21.	Multicycle Direct Oxide Reduction	B-61
Figure B–22.	Molten Salt Extraction	B-62
Figure B–23.	Plutonium Electrorefining.....	B-63
Figure B–24.	Fuel Injection Casting Furnace	B-65
Figure B–25.	Preconceptual Illustration of Slug Casting and Demolding Glovebox Line	B-66
Figure B–26.	Representative Casting Furnace Palette Ready for Loading into the Casting Furnace	B-66
Figure B–27.	Preconceptual Illustration of a Fuel Pin Loading Glovebox	B-67
Figure B–28.	Fuel Manufacturing Facility Fuel Pin Fabrication Equipment Arrangement.....	B-73
Figure B–29.	Savannah River Site Proposed Fuel Fabrication Facility Minus-40-Foot Level of K-Reactor Building	B-84
Figure B–30.	Savannah River Site Proposed Fuel Fabrication Facility Minus-20-Foot Level of K-Reactor Building	B-85

Appendix E

Figure E–1.	Transportation Risk Assessment.....	E-7
Figure E–2.	Analyzed National and Regional Truck Routes for the INL VTR Alternative	E-11
Figure E–3.	Analyzed National and Regional Truck Routes for the ORNL VTR Alternative	E-12
Figure E–4.	Additional Routes that are Common to Both Alternatives	E-12

Appendix F

Figure F–1.	Locations of the Joint Base Charleston-Weapons Station and Savannah River Site Overland Transport to Savannah River Site	F-4
Figure F–2.	Model 9975 Shipping Package	F-5
Figure F–3.	ISO Containers Secured within the Hold of a Ship	F-6
Figure F–4.	Storage of Surplus Plutonium at the K Area Complex	F-8

LIST OF TABLES

Appendix B

Table B-1.	Versatile Test Reactor Test Requirements.....	B-2
Table B-2.	Versatile Test Reactor Facility Physical Dimensions	B-5
Table B-3.	Key Design Characteristics of Versatile Test Reactor Core	B-7
Table B-4.	Control and Safety Rod Assembly Dimensions	B-9
Table B-5.	Versatile Test Reactor Fuel Requirements.....	B-10
Table B-6.	Conditions and Dimensions for the Versatile Test Reactor Primary Heat Transport System and Reactor Vessel Conceptual Design.....	B-15
Table B-7.	Secondary Heat Removal System Operating Parameters	B-17
Table B-8.	Idaho National Laboratory Resource Requirements During Versatile Test Reactor Construction	B-28
Table B-9.	Calendar Year Nonradiological Construction Emissions – Idaho National Laboratory Versatile Test Reactor	B-29
Table B-10.	Wastes Generated During Versatile Test Reactor Construction.....	B-30
Table B-11.	Annual Resource Requirements During Versatile Test Reactor Operation	B-30
Table B-12.	Versatile Test Reactor Operational Nonradiological Emissions	B-32
Table B-13.	Versatile Test Reactor Operational Annual Radiological Releases	B-32
Table B-14.	Versatile Test Reactor Operational Annual Waste Generation	B-33
Table B-15.	Resource Requirements During Versatile Test Reactor Site Preparation at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	B-35
Table B-16.	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Site Preparation and Facility Construction Nonradiological Emissions	B-35
Table B-17.	Versatile Test Reactor Operational Nonradiological Emissions	B-37
Table B-18.	Idaho National Laboratory Annual Test Assembly Facility Operational Resource Requirements.....	B-40
Table B-19.	Idaho National Laboratory Test Assembly Examination Facility Operational Annual Radiological Releases.....	B-41
Table B-20.	Idaho National Laboratory Test Assembly Facility Annual Waste Generation	B-41
Table B-21.	Resource Requirements during Oak Ridge National Laboratory Post-Irradiation Examination and Spent Fuel Treatment Facility Construction	B-45
Table B-22.	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Post-Irradiation and Spent Fuel Treatment Facility Construction Wastes.....	B-46
Table B-23.	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Post-Irradiation Examination and Fuel Treatment Facility Operational Resource Requirements.....	B-47
Table B-24.	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Post-Irradiation and Spent Fuel Treatment Facility Operational Annual Radiological Releases	B-48
Table B-25.	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Post-Irradiation and Spent Fuel Treatment Facility Annual Operational Waste Generation.....	B-49
Table B-26.	Annual Resource Requirements for Versatile Test Reactor Spent Fuel Treatment at the Fuel Conditioning Facility	B-54
Table B-27.	Idaho National Laboratory Spent Fuel Treatment Facility Operational Annual Radiological Releases.....	B-54
Table B-28.	Idaho National Laboratory Spent Fuel Treatment Facility Annual Operational Waste	B-55
Table B-29.	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Spent Fuel Treatment and Storage Facilities Construction Resource Requirements	B-57
Table B-30.	Idaho National Laboratory Feedstock Preparation Facility Construction Resource Requirements.....	B-69
Table B-31.	Idaho National Laboratory Feedstock Preparation Facility Annual Nonradiological Releases During Construction.....	B-70

Table B-32.	Idaho National Laboratory Annual Feedstock Preparation Facility Resource Requirements	B-70
Table B-33.	Annual Nonradiological Operations Emissions from Feedstock Preparation Facilities at Idaho National Laboratory.....	B-71
Table B-34.	Idaho National Laboratory Feedstock Preparation Facility Operational Annual Radiological Releases.....	B-72
Table B-35.	Idaho National Laboratory Annual Feedstock Preparation Facility Operational Wastes	B-72
Table B-36.	List of Analytical Instrumentation Needed to Support Versatile Test Reactor Fuel Production	B-74
Table B-37.	Idaho National Laboratory Fuel Fabrication Facility Construction Resource Requirements	B-75
Table B-38.	Idaho National Laboratory Fuel Fabrication Facility Annual Operational Resource Requirements.....	B-76
Table B-39.	Idaho National Laboratory Fuel Fabrication Facility Operational Annual Radiological Releases.....	B-77
Table B-40.	Idaho National Laboratory Fuel Fabrication Facility Annual Operational Wastes	B-77
Table B-41.	Savannah River Site Feedstock Preparation Facility Construction Resource Requirements	B-79
Table B-42.	Savannah River Site Feedstock Preparation Facility Construction Nonradiological Emissions.....	B-80
Table B-43.	Savannah River Site Feedstock Fabrication Facility Construction Wastes.....	B-80
Table B-44.	Savannah River Site Annual Feedstock Preparation Facility Resource Requirements	B-80
Table B-45.	Savannah River Site Feedstock Preparation Facility Annual Operational Nonradiological Emissions	B-81
Table B-46.	Savannah River Site Annual Feedstock Preparation Facility Operational Wastes	B-82
Table B-47.	Savannah River Site Fuel Fabrication Facility Construction Resource Requirements.....	B-85
Table B-48.	Savannah River Site Fuel Fabrication Facility Construction Wastes	B-86
Table B-49.	Savannah River Site Annual Fuel Fabrication Facility Resource Requirements	B-87
Table B-50.	Savannah River Site Annual Fuel Fabrication Facility Operational Wastes.....	B-88

Appendix C

Table C-1.	Radiation Exposure Limits for Members of the Public and Radiation Workers.....	C-3
Table C-2.	Idaho National Laboratory Site Joint Frequency Distribution Data	C-6
Table C-3.	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Joint Frequency Distribution Data	C-7
Table C-4.	Savannah River Site Joint Frequency Distribution Data.....	C-8
Table C-5.	Estimated Population Within 50 Miles of INL-MFC in the Year 2050	C-9
Table C-6.	Estimated Population Surrounding Oak Ridge National Laboratory in the Year 2050	C-10
Table C-7.	Estimated Population Surrounding Savannah River Site K Area in the Year 2050.....	C-10
Table C-8.	Stack Parameters	C-11
Table C-9.	Locations used for VTR-Related Activity Stack Emissions.....	C-11
Table C-10.	Annual Radiological Releases from Versatile Test Reactor Operation	C-12
Table C-11.	Annual Radiological Releases from Post-Irradiation Examination Operations	C-13
Table C-12.	Annual Radiological Releases from Spent Fuel Treatment	C-13
Table C-13.	Annual Radiological Releases from Feedstock Preparation.....	C-13
Table C-14.	Annual Radiological Releases from Fuel Fabrication	C-13
Table C-15.	Radiological Impacts on a Noninvolved Worker and the Public from the INL VTR Alternative.....	C-16
Table C-16.	Radiological Impacts on a Noninvolved Worker and the Public from the INL Feedstock Preparation Option.....	C-17
Table C-17.	Radiological Impacts on a Noninvolved Worker and the Public from the INL Fuel Fabrication Option	C-17
Table C-18.	Radiological Impacts on a Noninvolved Worker and the Public from the ORNL VTR Alternative	C-19
Table C-19.	Radiological Impacts on a Noninvolved Worker and the Public from the SRS Feedstock Preparation Option	C-20
Table C-20.	Radiological Impacts on a Noninvolved Worker and the Public from SRS Fuel Fabrication Option.....	C-20

Table C–21.	Radiological Impacts on Minority and Low-Income Populations from VTR-Related Operations at INL – MFC.....	C-21
Table C–22.	Radiological Impacts on Minority and Low-Income Populations from the ORNL VTR Alternative	C-22
Table C–23.	Radiological Impacts on Minority and Low-Income Populations from the SRS Fuel Production Options	C-23
Table C–24.	Estimated Total Minority Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at the INL–MFC in the Year 2050	C-27
Table C–25.	Estimated African American Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at INL–MFC in the Year 2050	C-27
Table C–26.	Estimated Native American Population Within 50 Miles the Proposed VTR Complex at INL–MFC in the Year 2050	C-28
Table C–27.	Estimated Other Minority Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at INL–MFC in the Year 2050 a	C-28
Table C–28.	Estimated White Hispanic Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at INL–MFC in the Year 2050	C-29
Table C–29.	Estimated Low-Income Population Surrounding the Proposed VTR Complex at INL–MFC in the Year 2050	C-29
Table C–30.	Estimated Total Minority Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at ORNL in the Year 2050	C-30
Table C–31.	Estimated African American Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at ORNL in the Year 2050	C-30
Table C–32.	Estimated Native American Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at ORNL in the Year 2050	C-31
Table C–33.	Estimated Other Minority Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at ORNL in the Year 2050	C-31
Table C–34.	Estimated White Hispanic Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at ORNL in the Year 2050	C-32
Table C–35.	Estimated Low-Income Population Within 50 Miles of the Proposed VTR Complex at ORNL in the Year 2050.....	C-32
Table C–36.	Estimated Total Minority Population Within 50 Miles of K Area at SRS in the Year 2050.....	C-33
Table C–37.	Estimated African American Population Within 50 Miles of K Area at SRS in the Year 2050	C-33
Table C–38.	Estimated Native American Population Within 50 Miles of K Area at SRS in the Year 2050	C-34
Table C–39.	Estimated Other Minority Population Within 50 Miles of K Area at SRS in the Year 2050	C-34
Table C–40.	Estimated White Hispanic Population Within 50 Miles of K Area at SRS in the Year 2050	C-35
Table C–41.	Estimated Low-Income Population Within 50 Miles of K Area at SRS in the Year 2050.....	C-35

Appendix D

Table D–1.	Potential VTR Plutonium Feed Materials and Plutonium-239 Dose Equivalency	D-6
Table D–2.	Accident Scenarios and Source Terms for Idaho National Laboratory and K Area VTR Fuel Production Operations	D-22
Table D–3.	Accident Scenarios and Source Terms for the Transuranic Waste Handling Accidents at Savannah River Site, Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-25
Table D–4.	VTR Fresh Assembly	D-34
Table D–5.	VTR Pin and Assembly Mass	D-34
Table D–6.	Source Term for Cover Gas Cleanup System Leak in the Reactor Room	D-35
Table D–7.	Source Term for Cover Gas Cleanup System Leak Outside the Reactor Room	D-36
Table D–8.	Release Conditions for the Eutectic Spent Fuel Fire in the VTR Experiment Hall	D-37
Table D–9.	Release Factors for the Spent Fuel Drop in the VTR Experiment Hall	D-38
Table D–10.	Accident Scenarios and Source Terms for Versatile Test Reactor Operations at Idaho National Laboratory/Materials and Fuels Complex and Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	D-39

Table D-11.	Accident Scenarios and Terms for Spent Fuel Handling and Treatment Activities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory and Idaho National Laboratory/Materials and Fuels Complex	D-41
Table D-12.	Accident Scenarios and Source Terms for Post-Irradiation Examination Activities at Idaho National Laboratory/Materials and Fuels Complex and Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	D-42
Table D-13.	Accident Scenarios and Source Terms for Spent Fuel Storage Activities at Idaho National Laboratory/Materials and Fuels Complex and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-43
Table D-14.	Accident Impacts for the VTR Fuel Production Capability at Idaho National Laboratory	D-49
Table D-15.	Accident Impacts from VTR Transuranic Waste Activities at Idaho National Laboratory.....	D-51
Table D-16.	Accident Impacts for VTR Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory/Materials and Fuels Complex.....	D-52
Table D-17.	Accident Impacts for the Spent Fuel Handling and Treatment at Idaho National Laboratory	D-54
Table D-18.	Accident Impacts for Post-Irradiation Examination at Idaho National Laboratory	D-55
Table D-19.	Accident Impacts for Spent Fuel Storage at Idaho National Laboratory	D-56
Table D-20.	Accident Impacts from VTR Transuranic Waste Activities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	D-57
Table D-21.	Accident Impacts for VTR Accidents at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	D-58
Table D-22.	Accident Impacts for the Spent Fuel Handling and Treatment at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	D-60
Table D-23.	Accident Impacts for Post-Irradiation Examination at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-61
Table D-24.	Accident Impacts for Spent Fuel Storage at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-62
Table D-25.	Accident Impacts for the VTR Fuel Production Capability at Savannah River Site	D-63
Table D-26.	Accident Impacts from VTR Transuranic Waste Activities at Savannah River Site	D-65
Table D-27.	Summary of Potential Annual Radiological Impacts for VTR Operational Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-67
Table D-28.	Summary of Potential Radiological Impacts for Fuel Fabrication Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Savannah River Site	D-68
Table D-29.	Summary of Annual Risks for VTR Operational Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	D-70
Table D-30.	Summary of Annual Risks for Reactor Fuel Production Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory and Savannah River Site	D-71
Table D-31.	Summary of the Total Annual Risks for VTR-Related Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and Savannah River Site	D-73
Table D-32.	Release Fractions for Isotope Groups for Metal-Fuel Sodium Fast Reactors	D-77
Table D-33.	Impacts for an Unmitigated, Hypothetical Beyond-Design-Basis Reactor Accident at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-79
Table D-34.	Annual Risks for an Unmitigated, Hypothetical Beyond-Design-Basis Reactor Accident at Idaho National Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory	D-79
Table D-35.	Summary of the Total Annual Risks for LWR and VTR Severe Accidents	D-80
Table D-36.	Hazardous Material Source Terms.....	D-85
Table D-37.	Ground Level Dispersion Coefficients χ/q	D-86
Table D-38.	Emergency Response Planning Guideline Values for Sodium and Uranium.....	D-87
Table D-39.	Sodium and Uranium Concentration for Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory.....	D-88
Table D-40.	Sodium and Uranium Concentration for Accidents at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.....	D-89
Table D-41.	Sodium and Uranium Concentration for Accidents at Savannah River Site	D-89
Table D-42.	Isotopic Composition In-Reactor and 220-Day Cooled VTR Fuel.....	D-94
Table D-43.	Isotopic Composition of a 4-Year Cooled VTR Assembly	D-97
Table D-44.	Curies of Important Nuclides Released During Nuclear Excursion Involving Plutonium Solution	D-99

Appendix E

Table E-1.	Offsite Transport Truck Route Characteristics.....	E-10
Table E-2.	Material or Waste Type and Associated Container Characteristics.....	E-14
Table E-3.	Low-level and Mixed Low-level Radioactive Waste Radionuclide Concentrations from Fuel Fabrication.....	E-15
Table E-4.	Radioisotopic Content of Transport Packages Containing New VTR Fuel Assemblies.....	E-16
Table E-5.	Risk Factors per Shipment of Radioactive Material and Waste.....	E-24
Table E-6.	Annual Risks of Transporting Radioactive Material and Waste Under Each Alternative and Reactor Fuel Production Option (Weapons-Grade Plutonium Feedstock at LANL).....	E-28
Table E-7.	Annual Risks of Transporting Radioactive Material and Waste Under Each Alternative and Reactor Fuel Production Option (Weapons-Grade Plutonium Feedstock at SRS)	E-32
Table E-8.	Estimated Dose to Maximally Exposed Individuals Under Incident Free Transportation Conditions	E-36
Table E-9.	Estimated Dose to the Population and to Maximally Exposed Individuals Under the Maximum Reasonably Foreseeable Accident	E-38
Table E-10.	Estimated Impacts of Construction Material and Hazardous Waste Transport	E-39
Table E-11.	Cumulative Transportation-Related Radiological Collective Doses and Latent Cancer Fatalities.....	E-41

Appendix F

Table F-1.	Assumed Composition of Plutonium Material from United Kingdom and France	F-9
Table F-2.	Assumed Crew Duties for Ocean Transport of Plutonium Materials	F-10
Table F-3.	Per-Shipment Crew Doses and Risks for Transporting Plutonium via Chartered Vessel	F-10
Table F-4.	Incident-Free Impacts for Unloading 15 ISO Containers of Plutonium Materials from Chartered Ships.....	F-12
Table F-5.	Cumulative Radiation Doses and Risks for Incident-Free Marine Transport of Radioactive Shipments to U.S. Seaports.....	F-16

This page left blank intentionally.

ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND CONVERSION CHARTS

ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND CONVERSION CHARTS

°C	degrees Celsius
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
AEG	Acute Exposure Guideline Level
ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
ANS	Advanced Neutron Source
AoA	Analysis of Alternatives
APC	Air Pollution Control
AQD	Air Quality Division
APE	area of potential effects
ARF	airborne release fraction
ATR	Advanced Test Reactor
B ₄ C	boron carbide
BCCs	Birds of Conservation Concern
BCR	Bird Conservation Region
BEIR	Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation
BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
BJWSA	Beaufort-Jasper Water and Sewer Authority
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAIRS	Computerized Accident Incident Reporting System
CBCG	Columbia Basin Consulting Group
CCOs	criticality control overpacks
CD	Critical Decision
CEM	continuous emission monitoring
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFA	Central Facilities Area
CFR	<i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
CO	carbon monoxide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	carbon dioxide equivalent
CRBR	Clinch River Breeder Reactor
CSWTF	Central Sanitary Wastewater Treatment Facility
CWA	Clean Water Act
D&D	decontamination and decommissioning
D&R	dismantlement and removal
DART	Days Away, Restricted or on-the-job Transfer
dB	decibels
dBA	A-Weighted Decibel Scale
DHS	U.S. Department of Homeland Security
DNFSB	Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
DOD	U.S. Department of Defense

DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
DR	damage ratio
DSAs	documented safety analyses
EA	environmental assessment
EBR	Experimental Breeder Reactor
EDGs	emergency diesel generators
EFF	Experimental Fuels Facility
EIS	environmental impact statement
EM	electromagnetic
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPHA	Emergency Planning Hazard Assessment
ERDA	U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration
ERO	Emergency Response Organization
ERPG	Emergency Response Planning Guideline
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESER	Environmental Surveillance, Education, and Research
ESRP	Eastern Snake River Plain
ETTP	East Tennessee Technology Park
FC	frequency-consequence
FCF	Fuel Conditioning Facility
FFCA	Federal Facilities Compliance Act
FFT	Fast Flux Test Facility
FMF	Fuel Manufacturing Facility
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FOTAs	Fuels Open Test Assemblies
FR	<i>Federal Register</i>
FRR	Foreign Research Reactor
FTC	fuel transfer cask
FTE	full-time equivalent (person)
GEH	GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
GHG	greenhouse gas
gpm	gallons per minute
GWP	global warming potential
HACs	hazardous air contaminants
HALEU	high assay, low-enriched uranium
HAPs	hazardous air pollutants
HAZMAT	hazardous materials
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air
HEU	highly enriched uranium
HFEF	Hot Fuel Examination Facility
HFIR	High Flux Isotope Reactor
HLW	high-level radioactive waste
HRS	heat removal system
HT-9	a stainless-steel alloy of iron, chromium, molybdenum, tungsten, nickel, and carbon
HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air conditioning

IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection
IDA	International Dark-Sky Association
IDAPA	Idaho Administrative Procedures Act
IDEQ	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
IDFG	Idaho Fish and Game
IHXs	internal heat exchangers
IMCL	Irradiated Materials Characterization Laboratory
INF	irradiated nuclear fuel
INL	Idaho National Laboratory
INTEC	Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center
IPaC	Information for Planning and Consultation
IPDES	Idaho Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
ISA	Idaho Settlement Agreement
ISCORS	Interagency Steering Committee on Radiation Standards
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
IVTM	In-Vessel Transfer Machine
JFD	joint frequency distribution
KIS	K-Area Interim Surveillance
kV	kilovolt
L _{dn}	Day-Night Average Sound Level
L _{eq}	Equivalent Sound Level
LAMDA	Low Activation Materials Design and Analysis Laboratory
LANL	Los Alamos National Laboratory
LCF	latent cancer fatality
LEU	low-enriched uranium
LFR	lead-cooled fast reactor
LFTR	lead/lead-bismuth-cooled fast test reactor
LOOP	loss of offsite power
LOS	level of service
LLW	low-level radioactive waste
LPF	leak path factor
MACCS	MELCOR Accident Consequence Code System
Magnox	magnesium alloy
MAP	mitigation action plan
MAR	material at risk
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MCEP	Motor Carrier Evaluation Program
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MDOR	Multicycle Direct Oxide Reduction
MEI	maximally exposed individual
MeV	million electron volts
MFC	Materials and Fuels Complex
MFFF	MOX Fuel Fabrication Facility
MHR	Multipurpose Haul Road
MLLW	mixed low-level radioactive waste

MOTAs	Materials Open Test Assemblies
MOX	mixed oxide
MSA	Material Storage Area
MSE	Molten Salt Extraction
MSFTR	molten-salt-cooled fast test reactor
MSR	molten salt reactors
MVa	megavolt-amperes
MW	megawatt
MWh	megawatt-hour
MWth	megawatts thermal
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements
NDC	Natural Phenomena Hazards Design Category
NEAC	Nuclear Energy Advisory Committee
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NEICA	Nuclear Energy Innovation Capabilities Act of 2017
NERP	National Environmental Research Park
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NFS	Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc.
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NIW	noninvolved worker
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Administration
NNSS	Nevada National Security Site
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NOA	Notice of Availability
NOI	Notice of Intent
NOx	nitrogen oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPH	natural phenomena hazard
NPS	National Park Service
NRAD	neutron radiography
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NSUF	Nuclear Science User Facilities
O ₃	ozone
ORR	Oak Ridge Reservation
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PAC	protective action criteria
PC	Performance Category
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
pCi/L	picocuries per liter
PCS	plant control systems
PDCF	Pit Disassembly and Conversion Facility
PEIS	Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

PF-4	Plutonium Facility
PGA	peak ground acceleration
PHTS	Primary Heat Transport System
PIDAS	Perimeter Intrusion Detection and Assessment System
PLOHS	protected loss of heat sink
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns in diameter
PM ₁₀	particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns in diameter
PMDA	Plutonium Management and Disposition Agreement
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
POCs	pipe overpack containers
ppm	parts per million
PRA	probabilistic risk assessment
PRISM	Power Reactor Innovative Small Module
PSBO	protected station blackout
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
psig	pounds per square in gauge
PTC	permit to construct
PTOP	protected transient overpower
R&D	research and development
RADTRAN	Radioactive Material Transportation Risk Assessment
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REDC	Radiochemical Engineering Development Center
rem	roentgen equivalent man
RESL	Radiological and Environmental Sciences Laboratory
RF	respirable fraction
RH-TRU	remote-handled transuranic waste
RISKIND	Risks and Consequences of Radioactive Material Transport
ROD	Record of Decision
ROI	region of influence
RPS	Reactor Protection System
RVACS	Reactor Vessel Auxiliary Cooling System
RWMC	Radioactive Waste Management Complex
SA	Supplemental Analysis
SAHX	sodium-to-air heat exchanger
SBO	station blackout
SCDHEC	South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control
SCDNR	South Carolina Department of Natural Resources
SDA	Subsurface Disposal Area
SDC	seismic design category
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SGCN	Species of Greatest Conservation Need
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SNF	spent nuclear fuel
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SPD	Surplus Plutonium Disposition

SR	State Route
SRNL	Savannah River National Laboratory
SRNS	Savannah River Nuclear Solutions
SRPA	Snake River Plain Aquifer
SRR	Savannah River Remediation
SRS	Savannah River Site
SRTE	Savannah River Tritium Enterprise
STA	Secure Transportation Asset
SWAP	State Wildlife Action Plan
SWPPP	stormwater pollution prevention plan
SWPT	sanitary wastewater treatment plant
T&E	threatened and endangered
TAPs	toxic air pollutants
TDEC	Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation
TEEL	Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit
TRC	Total Reportable Cases
TREAT	Transient Reactor Test
TRU	transuranic
TRUPACT-II	Transuranic Package Transporter Model 2
TSCA	Toxic Substance Control Act
TSP	total suspended particulates
TTHM	total trihalomethanes
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority
TWPC	Transuranic Waste Processing Center
TWRA	Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency
µg/L	micrograms per liter
U/Pu/Zr	uranium/plutonium/zirconium alloy
UK	United Kingdom
ULOF	unprotected (without scram) loss-of flow
ULOHS	unprotected loss-of-heat-sink
U.S.C.	<i>United States Code</i>
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGCRP	U.S. Global Change Research Program
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UTOP	unprotected transient overpower
VOC	volatile organic compound
VRM	Visual Resource Management
VTR	Versatile Test Reactor
WAG	Waste Area Group
WCS	Waste Control Specialists
WebTRAGIS	Web Transportation Routing Analysis Geographic Information System
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
Y-12	Y-12 National Security Complex
ZPPR	Zero Power Physics Reactor

CONVERSIONS

METRIC TO ENGLISH			ENGLISH TO METRIC		
Multiply	by	To get	Multiply	by	To get
Area					
Square meters	10.764	Square feet	Square feet	0.092903	Square meters
Square kilometers	247.1	Acres	Acres	0.0040469	Square kilometers
Square kilometers	0.3861	Square miles	Square miles	2.59	Square kilometers
Hectares	2.471	Acres	Acres	0.40469	Hectares
Concentration					
Kilograms/square meter	4.46	Tons/acre	Tons/acre	0.224	Kilograms/square meter
Milligrams/liter	1 ^a	Parts/million	Parts/million	1 ^a	Milligrams/liter
Micrograms/liter	1 ^a	Parts/billion	Parts/billion	1 ^a	Micrograms/liter
Micrograms/cubic meter	1 ^a	Parts/trillion	Parts/trillion	1 ^a	Micrograms/cubic meter
Density					
Grams/cubic centimeter	62.428	Pounds/cubic feet	Pounds/cubic feet	0.016018	Grams/cubic centimeter
Grams/cubic meter	0.0000624	Pounds/cubic feet	Pounds/cubic feet	16,018.5	Grams/cubic meter
Length					
Centimeters	0.3937	Inches	Inches	2.54	Centimeters
Meters	3.2808	Feet	Feet	0.3048	Meters
Kilometers	0.62137	Miles	Miles	1.6093	Kilometers
Radiation					
Sieverts	100	Rem	Rem	0.01	Sieverts
Temperature					
<i>Absolute</i>					
Degrees C + 17.78	1.8	Degrees F	Degrees F - 32	0.55556	Degrees C
<i>Relative</i>					
Degrees C	1.8	Degrees F	Degrees F	0.55556	Degrees C
Velocity/Rate					
Cubic meters/second	2118.9	Cubic feet/minute	Cubic feet/minute	0.00047195	Cubic meters/second
Grams/second	7.9366	Pounds/hour	Pounds/hour	0.126	Grams/second
Meters/second	2.237	Miles/hour	Miles/hour	0.44704	Meters/second
Volume					
Liters	0.26418	Gallons	Gallons	3.7854	Liters
Liters	0.035316	Cubic feet	Cubic feet	28.316	Liters
Liters	0.001308	Cubic yards	Cubic yards	764.54	Liters
Cubic meters	264.17	Gallons	Gallons	0.0037854	Cubic meters
Cubic meters	35.314	Cubic feet	Cubic feet	0.028317	Cubic meters
Cubic meters	1.3079	Cubic yards	Cubic yards	0.76456	Cubic meters
Cubic meters	0.0008107	Acre-feet	Acre-feet	1233.49	Cubic meters
Weight/Mass					
Grams	0.035274	Ounces	Ounces	28.35	Grams
Kilograms	2.2046	Pounds	Pounds	0.45359	Kilograms
Kilograms	0.0011023	Tons (short)	Tons (short)	907.18	Kilograms
Metric tons	1.1023	Tons (short)	Tons (short)	0.90718	Metric tons
ENGLISH TO ENGLISH					
Acre-feet	325,850.7	Gallons	Gallons	0.000003069	Acre-feet
Acres	43,560	Square feet	Square feet	0.000022957	Acres
Square miles	640	Acres	Acres	0.0015625	Square miles

a. This conversion is only valid for concentrations of contaminants (or other materials) in water.

METRIC PREFIXES

Prefix	Symbol	Multiplication factor
exa-	E	$1,000,000,000,000,000,000 = 10^{18}$
peta-	P	$1,000,000,000,000,000 = 10^{15}$
tera-	T	$1,000,000,000,000 = 10^{12}$
giga-	G	$1,000,000,000 = 10^9$
mega-	M	$1,000,000 = 10^6$
kilo-	k	$1,000 = 10^3$
deca-	D	$10 = 10^1$
deci-	d	$0.1 = 10^{-1}$
centi-	c	$0.01 = 10^{-2}$
milli-	m	$0.001 = 10^{-3}$
micro-	μ	$0.000\ 001 = 10^{-6}$
nano-	n	$0.000\ 000\ 001 = 10^{-9}$
pico-	p	$0.000\ 000\ 000\ 001 = 10^{-12}$